

ISSUES SUBMITTAL FORM

Date: 11-2014

ISSUE:	Should the Overlook event facility at Ridgway State Park be added to regulation #708.10 to be consistent with other Parks facility rentals?	
DISCUSSION (FACTS AND FIGURES, EXPLANATION OF ISSUE):		
For many years the Overlook Facility at Ridgway State Park rental process has been through a Special Activity Permit, rather than as a designated rental facility set price in regulation #708.10. This is inconsistent with all other known facility rentals at Colorado State Parks. Also, the fee has not been examined in over 15 years. Current rates are \$145 for up to 50 people and \$195 for up to 100 people.		
WHO ARE THE INTERNAL/EXTERNAL PUBLICS IN THIS ISSUE? WHAT INPUT PROCESS HAS OCCURRED?		
The impacted groups if the Ridgway Overlook is added to Regulation #708.10 are the public who would rent the facility and staff in the processing of the rental. If the Overlook were added to Regulation #708.10, it would make renting all event facilities at Ridgway (and all other state parks) the same process which would likely improve the experience for both the public and staff. This would also set the fee in regulation which is more transparent than charging as a Special Activity Permit.		
ALTERNATIVES: (POSSIBLE OUTCOMES or POSSIBLE REGULATIONS):		
<p>1. * Preferred Alternative *: To add the Ridgway Overlook Facility to #708.10 to read: #708.10.h Event Facilities at Ridgway State Park (2) Ridgway Overlook a) Monday through Thursday \$190 (<i>plus \$10 reservation fee</i>) for 4 hours of access and use of site. B) Friday through Sunday and Holidays \$240 (<i>plus \$10 reservation fee</i>) for 4 hours of access and use of site</p> <p>2. Leave as is currently-Not recommended because it does not conform to existing facility rental processes.</p>		
Issue Raised by:	Kirstin Copeland	
Author of the issue paper (if different than person raising the issue):		
CC:		
APPROVED FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION BY:	PATT DORSEY	
REQUIRES NEW SPACE IN THE BROCHURE?		NO
ISSUE PAPER HAS BEEN EMAILED TO REG REVIEW MGRS GROUP?		YES
RECOMMENDED FOR CONSENT AGENDA?		NO

ISSUES SUBMITTAL FORM

Date: 11/26/2014

ISSUE:	Should the weekend/holiday rate be increased at Pa Co Chu Puk Group Event Facility?	
DISCUSSION (FACTS AND FIGURES, EXPLANATION OF ISSUE):		
<p>Evaluation of all event facility rentals at Ridgway State Park has resulted in staff recommendations to increase the weekend/holiday rate at the Pa Co Chu Puk Group Event Facility. Currently the rate is \$150 for a single shelter on the weekends/holiday (plus a \$10 reservation fee), and \$275 for both shelters (plus \$10 reservation fee). Proposed increase would be to \$190/shelter on weekends/holidays plus \$10 reservation fee (no discount for renting both shelters other than single reservation fee rather than two). There is no proposal to change the weekday rate due to the lack of demand currently.</p>		
WHO ARE THE INTERNAL/EXTERNAL PUBLICS IN THIS ISSUE? WHAT INPUT PROCESS HAS OCCURRED?		
<p>The public that rents the facilities would be impacted by the increase. The public that rents the facility is a combination of first/single time users and users that have been returning for multiple years. Based on discussions with staff, we feel this will better reflect the cost to park for cleaning, providing staff, utilities, and other services related to the facility but not be an undue financial barrier to new and returning users. Some of this information is based on comparison with sites in the area and at other state parks.</p>		
ALTERNATIVES: (POSSIBLE OUTCOMES or POSSIBLE REGULATIONS):		
<p>1. *Preferred Alternative*: Change Regulation #708.10.h Event Facilities at Ridgway State Park (1)Pa-Co-Chu-Puk Single Event Shelter A or B (a) Monday through Thursday \$125 (<i>no change</i>) (b) Friday through Sunday and Holidays \$190 per shelter; remove section (2)</p> <p>2. No change</p>		
Issue Raised by:	Kirstin Copeland	
Author of the issue paper (if different than person raising the issue):		
CC:		
APPROVED FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION BY:	PATT DORSEY	
REQUIRES NEW SPACE IN THE BROCHURE?		NO
ISSUE PAPER HAS BEEN EMAILED TO REG REVIEW MGRS GROUP?		YES
RECOMMENDED FOR CONSENT AGENDA?		NO