

ISSUES SUBMITTAL FORM

Date: 10/13/2021	
ISSUE:	Should five preference point or more licenses and public Ranching for Wildlife licenses returned or not paid for in the Primary Draw be excluded from the Secondary Draw?
DISCUSSION (FACTS AND FIGURES, EXPLANATION OF ISSUE):	
<p>Regulation W-2 Article I, #206 B(7)(a) states that elk, deer, pronghorn, and bear licenses which are not issued through the regular drawing will be issued through a secondary drawing process if the number of remaining licenses is sufficient to justify the administrative cost. Each year Primary Draw licenses that are not paid for (i.e. failed-to-pay licenses) include licenses that qualify for manual reissue (those licenses that required five or more points to draw in the Primary Draw and Ranching For Wildlife (RFW) licenses). Chapter W-16 Article IV #1670 A(9)(a) & (c) states all licenses that require 5 or more points and all RFW licenses will be reissued by the Division manually. These two regulations currently conflict. Manually reissuing licenses encourages the use of points for high demand licenses, which helps with point creep. Additionally, the Secondary Draw occurs too late in the calendar for CPW to inform the RFW ranches in a timely manner of hunters who drew a public RFW license, as required per the RFW contracts.</p> <p>Since its inception in 2020, the Secondary Draw has not included licenses that qualify for manual reissue, unless none of the next five unsuccessful applicants from the limited draw order accepted the manual reissue. Therefore modifying Chapter W-2 to align with Chapter W-16 will not change current established practices, but will ensure the two regulations do not conflict with each other.</p> <p>Additionally, as a part of this W-2 issue paper, all previous references to a leftover draw should be removed as the leftover draw was replaced with a Secondary Draw in 2020.</p>	
STATE LAW REQUIRES CPW TO SOLICIT INPUT FROM STAKEHOLDERS THAT MAY BE AFFECTED POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY BY THE PROPOSED RULES. THE FOLLOWING STAKEHOLDERS HAVE BEEN ADVISED OF AND INVITED TO PROVIDE INPUT ON THE REGULATORY CHANGES PROPOSED IN THIS ISSUE PAPER:	
IT IS ASSUMED THAT ALL NECESSARY INTERNAL PARTIES HAVE BEEN NOTIFIED.	
All public big game hunters, particularly those that apply in the Secondary Draw. This proposed regulatory change does not change system processes, just clarifies the process regarding which unpaid licenses will be included in the Secondary Draw.	
ALTERNATIVES: (POSSIBLE OUTCOMES or POSSIBLE REGULATIONS):	
<p>1. Preferred Alternative*. Modify W-2 Article I, #206 B(7)(a) to exclude any licenses that required five or more resident preference points to draw and all RFW licenses from being included in the Secondary Draw unless the next five unsuccessful applicants on the limited draw order refused to accept the manual reissue prior to the opening of the Secondary Draw application period.</p> <p>2. Status Quo. No change.</p>	
Issue Raised by:	LRCO Section
Author of the issue paper (if different than person raising the issue):	Danielle Isenhart and Mike Lloyd
CC:	
APPROVED FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION BY:	Danielle Isenhart
REQUIRES NEW SPACE IN THE BROCHURE?	X YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
ARE ADEQUATE STAFF AND FUNDING RESOURCES AVAILABLE TO IMPLEMENT?	X YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO

REGION, BRANCH, OR SECTION LEADING IMPLEMENTATION	LRCO
RECOMMENDED FOR CONSENT AGENDA?	X YES NO