# Hybrid Draw <br> vs 

## Split Draws

## Current Hybrid Draw

In those hunt codes requiring 10 or more resident preference points to draw, up to 20 percent of available licenses for deer, elk, pronghorn and bear shall be issued through a random drawing. The number of preference points required to draw shall be determined by a three year average for the 2007, 2008, and 2009 limited license draws. A minimum of five individual preference points is required for an applicant to participate in the random drawing. Group applications shall not be eligible to participate in the random drawing.

## EE002O1A


https://cpw.state.co.us/thingstodo/Pages/ Statistics.aspx

## Current Hybrid Draw

| EE002O1A |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Quota |  | Requlation Level | \# Drawn |
| Amount | LPP Unrestricted (up to) | 10\% | 1 |
| 10 | LPP Restricted (up to) | 10\% | 1 |
|  | Youth Preference (up to) | N/A | N/A |
| Remaining | NonResident Cap (up to) | 20\% | 1 |
| Balance | Hybrid Draw (up to) | 20\% | 1 |
| 0 |  | General Apps | LPP Apps |
| \# Drawn Hunt Code List | Total Choice 1 | 510 | 10 |
| 10 EE002O1A A | Total Choice 2 | 139 | 5 |
| 10 EE00201A A | Total Choice 3 Total Choice 4 | 68 | 1 |

## 2022 Hybrid Draw Numbers

|  | Total Quota | Licenses to Hybrid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DE025W1R | 5 | 1 |
| DE104W1R | 2 | 0 |
| DE211W1R | 5 | 1 |
| DM005W1R | 3 | 0 |
| DM010W1R | 1 | 0 |
| DM06604R | 20 | 3 |
| DM085W1R | 1 | 0 |
| DM103L1R | 30 | 0 |
| DM136W2R | 7 | 1 |
| DM142L1R | 20 | 4 |
| DM851W1R | 1 | 0 |
|  | 95 | 10 |


|  | Total <br> Quota Licenses |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| to Hybrid |  |$|$

## Split VS Hybrid Draws

Our Hybrid Draw

- Limited to only applicants with 5 or more preference points
- Limited to specific hunts
- $20 \%$ of quota allocated

Other States Split Draws

- Usually not limited to a certain group of applicants
- Applies to most or all hunt codes within a draw or year
- Various percentages between different states and draws.


## Split Draw Possibilities

## x\% Preference point, y\% Random draw

EX. Of the total quota, $50 \%$ is drawn with highest preference points, $50 \%$ is drawn by random draw.

| EE00201A |  |  |  | Total Quota | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Quota | LPP Unrestricted (up to) PP Restricted (up to) Youth Preference (up to) NonResident Cap (up to) Hybrid Draw (up to) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Regulation Level } \\ & \hline 10 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \#Drawn | LPP unrestricted | 1 |
| 10 |  | 10\% | 1 |  |  |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { Remaining } \\ \text { Balance }}}$ |  | NA | $\frac{\mathrm{NA}}{1}$ | LPP restricted | 1 |
|  |  | 20\% | 1 |  |  |
| \# Drawn Hunt cose List |  | General Apps LPP Apps |  | Highest preference points | 4 |
| 10 EE0201A A |  | $\frac{139}{68}$ | 5 |  |  |
|  | Total Chices 4 | ${ }_{41}^{41}$ |  | Totally Random Draw | 4 |

## Split Draw Possibilities <br> x\% Preference Point, y\% Bonus (names in the hat)

EX. Of the total quota, $50 \%$ is drawn with highest preference points, $50 \%$ is drawn by bonus points (names in the hat)


| Total Quota | 10 |
| :--- | :--- |
| LPP unrestricted | 1 |
| LPP restricted | 1 |
| Highest preference points | 4 |
| Bonus draw | 4 |

## Perceived Pros / Cons Of Hybrid And Split Draws

Pros:

- Allows for a chance to draw higher point licenses sooner
- Youth
- Newer customers
- Allows for an opportunity to draw with preference points along with the random drawing (2 chances or split drawings)
- Retains predictability to a degree

Cons:

- Takes away perceived opportunity for customers that have waited the longest.
- Complicates processes
- Deciding on what hunts are eligible
- Programing
- Maintaining over the years


## Time Frames For Change

## Questions

