

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	xi
Acknowledgements	xv
I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
II. INTRODUCTION	4
A. Purpose	4
B. Goals and Scope	5
<u>Assessment Goals</u>	5
<u>Strategy Goals</u>	5
<u>Scope</u>	6
C. Guiding Principles and Philosophy	6
D. Plan Duration	7
E. Mechanics of the RCP	8
<u>Process and Structure</u>	8
<u>Information and Data Sources</u>	10
<u>Scientific Assessment and Review</u>	10
<u>Public Participation Process</u>	11
F. Socio-economic Considerations	14
G. Management and Legal Authorities	14
<u>Colorado Division of Wildlife</u>	14
<u>Utah Division of Wildlife Resources</u>	15
<u>Counties</u>	15
<u>United States Forest Service</u>	16
<u>Natural Resources Conservation Service</u>	16
<u>Bureau of Land Management</u>	17
<u>United States Fish and Wildlife Service</u>	17
<u>National Park Service</u>	18
<u>Memoranda of Understanding</u>	18
H. PECE Standards	19
III. CONSERVATION ASSESSMENT	22
A. Biology and Life History	22
<u>Species Description</u>	22
<u>Life History</u>	22
<u>Habitat Requirements</u>	26
Breeding Habitat	27
Summer - Fall Habitat.....	30
Winter Habitat.....	30

B. Distribution and Abundance	32
<u>Distribution</u>	32
<i>Historic Distribution</i>	32
<i>Current Distribution</i>	36
<u>Abundance</u>	39
<i>Lek Counts and Population Estimation</i>	39
Assumptions Made in Sage-grouse Population Estimation from Lek Counts.....	40
Alternative Methods of Population Estimation	43
Conclusions.....	44
<i>RCP Estimated Population Size</i>	45
<i>Decline of Gunnison Sage-grouse</i>	45
C. Genetics	46
D. GUSG Habitat Mapping Efforts	54
<u>RCP Habitat Mapping</u>	54
<u>BLM Habitat Mapping</u>	54
E. Status and Distribution of Individual Populations	56
<u>Cerro Summit – Cimarron - Sims Mesa Population</u>	56
<i>General Description</i>	56
<i>Population Information</i>	58
<i>Historic Information</i>	58
<i>Local Conservation Plan</i>	59
<i>Habitat Improvements/Completed Conservation Actions</i>	59
<i>Easements/Candidate Conservation Agreements with Assurances</i>	59
<u>Crawford Population</u>	62
<i>General Description</i>	62
<i>Population Information</i>	64
<i>Historic Information</i>	64
<i>Local Conservation Plan</i>	64
<i>Habitat Improvements/Completed Conservation Actions</i>	65
<i>Easements/Candidate Conservation Agreements with Assurances</i>	65
<u>Dove Creek Subpopulation</u>	68
<i>General Description</i>	68
<i>Population Information</i>	70
<i>Historic Information</i>	70
<i>Local Conservation Plan</i>	70
<i>Habitat Improvements/Completed Conservation Actions</i>	71
<i>Easements/Candidate Conservation Agreements with Assurances</i>	71
<u>Gunnison Basin Population</u>	73
<i>General Description</i>	73
<i>Population Information</i>	75
<i>Historic Information</i>	77
<i>Local Conservation Plan</i>	77
<i>Habitat Improvements/Completed Conservation Actions</i>	77
<i>Easements/Candidate Conservation Agreements with Assurances</i>	79

<u>Monticello, Utah Subpopulation</u>	81
<i>General Description</i>	81
<i>Population Information</i>	81
<i>Historic Information</i>	82
<i>Local Conservation Plan</i>	83
<i>Habitat Improvements/Completed Conservation Actions</i>	83
<i>Easements/Candidate Conservation Agreements with Assurances</i>	84
<u>Piñon Mesa Population</u>	85
<i>General Description</i>	85
<i>Population Information</i>	87
<i>Historic Information</i>	87
<i>Local Conservation Plan</i>	88
<i>Habitat Improvements/Completed Conservation Actions</i>	88
<i>Easements/Candidate Conservation Agreements with Assurances</i>	89
<u>Poncha Pass Population</u>	91
<i>General Description</i>	91
<i>Population Information</i>	93
<i>Historic Information</i>	94
<i>Local Conservation Plan</i>	94
<i>Habitat Improvements/Completed Conservation Actions</i>	95
<i>Easements/Candidate Conservation Agreements with Assurances</i>	95
<u>San Miguel Basin Population</u>	96
<i>General Description</i>	96
<i>Population Information</i>	99
<i>Historic Information</i>	99
<i>Local Conservation Plan</i>	100
<i>Habitat Improvements/Completed Conservation Actions</i>	100
<i>Easements/Candidate Conservation Agreements with Assurances</i>	101
IV. THREATS AND ANALYSIS	103
A. Threats Potentially Affecting GUSG	103
<u>Disease and Parasites</u>	103
<i>West Nile Virus</i>	103
<i>Coccidiosis and Tularemia</i>	104
<i>Avian Malaria</i>	104
<i>Diseases of Captive Birds</i>	105
<i>Conclusions</i>	105
<u>Fire and Fuels Management</u>	107
<u>Genetics</u>	109
<i>Inbreeding Depression</i>	109
<i>Loss of Genetic Variation</i>	111
<i>Accumulation of New Mutations</i>	112
<i>Conclusions</i>	113

<u>Grazing</u>	114
<i>General Debate</i>	114
<i>Impacts on Sage-grouse Habitat</i>	115
<i>Impacts on Sage-grouse Behavior and Demographics</i>	116
<i>Grazing Rotation, Intensity, and Timing</i>	117
<i>Recommendations</i>	118
<i>Grazing Management: Related Structures and Activities</i>	118
<i>Wild Ungulate Effects on Sage-grouse Habitat</i>	119
<i>Conclusions</i>	119
<u>Habitat Quality</u>	121
<u>Hunting</u>	122
<u>Lek Viewing</u>	124
<u>Mining, Energy Development, and Human Community Infrastructure</u>	127
<i>Habitat Loss, Fragmentation, and Degradation</i>	127
<i>Disturbance to and Collision Mortality of Grouse</i>	128
<i>Increased Predation Pressure</i>	128
<i>Spread of West Nile Virus</i>	129
<u>Noxious and Invasive Weeds</u>	131
<u>Pesticides</u>	132
<i>Insecticides</i>	132
<i>Herbicides</i>	133
<u>Predation</u>	134
<i>Sage-grouse and Predators</i>	134
<i>Predator Community and Interactions</i>	134
<i>Predator Control - Background</i>	136
<i>Predator Control - Methods</i>	136
<i>Habitat Management as Predator Control</i>	137
<i>Conclusions</i>	139
<u>Recreational Activity</u>	141
<u>Weather/Drought</u>	143
<u>Threats Summarized by ESA Listing Factor</u>	144
B. Habitat – Risk of Permanent Loss	149
<u>Problem Definition</u>	149
<u>Regulatory and Other Relief</u>	149
<u>Risk Assessment of Habitat Loss Among Populations</u>	150
<u>Conversion to Agriculture Uses</u>	154
<u>Spatially Explicit Analysis of Impacts of Additional Housing Units</u>	154
<u>Prioritization of Habitat Protection Efforts</u>	160
C. Habitat Linkages Among GUSG Populations	163
<u>Theory and Background</u>	163
<u>Mapping Potential GUSG Habitat Linkages</u>	164
D. Population Viability Analysis	168
<u>Concepts and Principles</u>	168
<u>Current Model</u>	169
<i>Baseline Parameters and Simulations</i>	169
<i>Relative Extinction Risk</i>	170

<i>Sensitivity Analysis</i>	173
<i>Maintenance of Genetic Diversity</i>	176
<i>Population Augmentation and Relative Extinction Risk</i>	176
<i>Conclusions</i>	179
E. Population Augmentation	180
<u>Translocation</u>	180
<u>Captive Breeding</u>	181
<i>Sage-grouse</i>	181
<i>Other Prairie Grouse</i>	182
<i>Summary</i>	183
<u>Potential Approaches for GUSG</u>	183
(1) <i>Transplant Eggs to Populations in Need</i>	183
(2) <i>Incubate Eggs in Captivity to Reduce Depredation Losses</i>	184
(3) <i>Supplement Wild-reared Broods with Captive-produced Young</i>	184
(4) <i>Raise Grouse in Captivity and Release to Populations in Need</i>	185
(5) <i>Maintain a Captive Flock as a Genetic Diversity Bank</i>	185
F. Analysis: GUSG Population Size in Relation to the Amount of Available	
Habitat	186
<u>Model Development</u>	186
<u>Model Selection</u>	191
<u>Computing 95% C.I. for Predicted Values of the Number of Males Counted on Leks</u>	195
G. GUSG Population Targets Development	198
IV. CONSERVATION STRATEGY	201
A. Overview of Rangewide Population Objectives	202
B. Rangewide Conservation Strategies	202
<u>Disease and Parasites</u>	205
<u>Fire and Fuels Management</u>	206
<u>Genetics</u>	208
<u>Grazing</u>	211
<i>Grazing Management Guidelines for GUSG</i>	212
<u>Habitat Enhancement</u>	214
<i>Evaluating Effects of Habitat Quality on GUSG</i>	214
<i>Habitat Improvement Approach</i>	214
<i>Specific Steps for Habitat Improvement</i>	215
<u>Habitat Linkages Among Populations</u>	218
<u>Habitat Monitoring</u>	220
<u>Habitat Protection from Permanent Loss</u>	223
<u>Human Infrastructure: Powerlines, Other Utility Corridors, Wind Turbines,</u>	
<u>Communication Towers, Fences, and Roads</u>	225
<u>Hunting</u>	229
<u>Information and Education</u>	230
<u>Lek Viewing</u>	231
<u>Noxious and Invasive Weeds</u>	232
<u>Oil & Gas Development and Mining</u>	233

<u>Pesticides</u>	239
<u>Population Augmentation</u>	241
<u>Population Monitoring and Targets</u>	242
<u>Predation</u>	243
<u>Recreational Activity</u>	245
<u>Research</u>	247
<u>Weather/Drought</u>	253
C. Local Conservation Targets and Strategies	255
<u>Cerro Summit - Cimarron - Sims Mesa</u>	257
<i>Primary Issues to be Addressed</i>	257
<i>Population Target</i>	257
<i>Recommended Conservation Strategies</i>	259
<u>Crawford</u>	263
<i>Primary Issues to be Addressed</i>	263
<i>Population Target</i>	264
<i>Recommended Conservation Strategies</i>	265
<u>Gunnison Basin</u>	269
<i>Primary Issues to be Addressed</i>	269
<i>Population Target</i>	270
<i>Recommended Conservation Strategies</i>	271
<u>Monticello, Utah and Dove Creek, Colorado</u>	276
<i>Primary Issues to be Addressed</i>	276
<i>Population Target</i>	277
<i>Recommended Conservation Strategies</i>	279
<u>Piñon Mesa</u>	285
<i>Primary Issues to Be Addressed</i>	285
<i>Population Target</i>	285
<i>Recommended Conservation Strategies</i>	286
<u>Poncha Pass</u>	291
<i>Primary Issues to be Addressed</i>	291
<i>Population Target</i>	291
<i>Recommended Conservation Strategies</i>	292
<u>San Miguel Basin</u>	296
<i>Primary Issues to be Addressed</i>	296
<i>Population Target</i>	296
<i>Recommended Conservation Strategies</i>	298
D. Adaptive Management Process	302
E. Summary	303
VI. GLOSSARY	305
VII. LITERATURE CITED	320

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Scientific Names of Organisms Mentioned in the RCP A-1

**Appendix B: Definitions of Acronyms Used in the RCP and Description of
“Responsible Parties” Listed in the Conservation StrategyB-1**

Appendix C: Available Funding Opportunities for GUSG Habitat Conservation.....C-1

Appendix D: GUSG GIS Data: Habitat Type, Landownership, Easements D-1

Appendix E: Effective Population Size DiscussionE-1

**Appendix F: Detailed Discussion of Spatially Explicit Analysis of Additional
Housing Units in GUSG Habitat F-1**

Appendix G: Report on PVA Analysis for GUSG G-1

Appendix H: GUSG Structural Habitat Guidelines H-1

Appendix I: GUSG Disturbance Guidelines..... I-1

Appendix J: GUSG Habitat Use Data.....J-1

**Appendix K: Tables of Content and Introductions from 2 sections of Monsen
(2005) K-1**

**Appendix L: Suggested Management Practices Applicable for Oil and Gas
Development, within Lease RightsL-1**

List of Figures

Fig. 1. Decision making process and public participation methods models.....9

Fig. 2. Public participation model for rangewide conservation plan for Gunnison sage-grouse.....13

Fig. 3. Current and historical Gunnison sage-grouse range.....33

Fig. 4. Locations of current Gunnison sage-grouse populations38

Fig. 5. Location of Curecanti within Gunnison Basin GUSG population area.....50

Fig. 6. Neighbor joining trees created from 2 genetic distances.....52

Fig. 7. Results of STRUCTURE analysis conducted by Oyler-McCance et al. (in press)..53

Fig. 8. Location, landownership, and habitat status of the Cerro Summit – Cimarron – Sims Mesa GUSG population.....57

Fig. 9. Conservation easements in the Cerro Summit – Cimarron – Sims Mesa GUSG area.....61

Fig. 10. Location, landownership, and habitat status of the Crawford GUSG population ..63

Fig. 11. Conservation easements in the Crawford GUSG area.....67

Fig. 12. Location, landownership, and habitat status of the Dove Creek and Monticello GUSG subpopulations69

Fig. 13. Conservation easements in the Dove Creek and Monticello GUSG area72

Fig. 14. Location, landownership, and habitat status of the Gunnison Basin GUSG population74

Fig. 15. Conservation easements in the Gunnison Basin GUSG area80

Fig. 16. Location, landownership, and habitat status of the Piñon Mesa GUSG population86

Fig. 17. Conservation easements in the Piñon Mesa GUSG area.....90

Fig. 18. Location, landownership, and habitat status of the Poncha Pass GUSG population92

Fig. 19. Location, landownership, and habitat status of the San Miguel Basin GUSG population98

Fig. 20. Conservation easements in the San Miguel Basin GUSG area102

Fig. 21. Diagram of consequences of inbreeding109

Fig. 22. The increase of average inbreeding coefficient as a function of population size and the number of generations of breeding.....110

Fig. 23. Lek counts at 2 leks where public lek viewing is allowed124

Fig. 24. Lek counts at a Watchable Wildlife lek and nearby leks125

Fig. 25. Potential oil and gas reserves in GUSG range.....130

Fig. 26. Modeled housing densities for unprotected lands, 2000157

Fig. 27. Projected housing densities for unprotected lands, 2020158

Fig. 28. Areas of growth from modeled year 2000 to 2020, for areas less than 320 acres per unit, on unprotected lands159

Fig. 29. Potential linkages in GUSG habitat.....167

Fig. 30. GUSG population risk analysis172

Fig. 31. Demographic sensitivity analysis of a simulated GUSG population174

Fig. 32. Gunnison sage-grouse population mortality analysis.....175

Fig. 33. Locations of GRSG populations used in analysis of sage-grouse population size and amount of available habitat188

Fig. 34. All models relating the number of males within each GUSG population190

Fig. 35. All models relating the number of males within each GUSG and GRSG population190

Fig. 36. Linear model (with 95% C.I. for mean values) relating the number of males within each GUSG population194

Fig. 37. Linear model (with 95% C.I. for individual predicted values) relating the number of males within each GUSG population194

Fig. 38. Linear model (with 95% C.I. for mean values) relating the number of males within each GUSG and GRSG population.....195

Fig. 39. Example of lek count target-setting in a long-term stable GRSG population in North Park, Colorado, from 1973-2004.....200

List of Tables

Table 1. Gunnison sage-grouse RCP steering committee members8

Table 2. Scientists who assisted in conducting analyses of GUSG population conservation needs for the GUSG Rangewide Conservation Plan11

Table 3. Evaluation of local conservation plans and PECE criteria20

Table 4. Annual survival rates of GRSG26

Table 5. Museum specimens collected for area identified in Fig. 3 as “Uncertain Sage-grouse Species”36

Table 6. Untested assumptions made in using lek count data to estimate sage-grouse population size41

Table 7. GUSG 2004 lek counts and population estimates45

Table 8. Polymorphism of microsatellite loci among 6 populations of GUSG48

Table 9. High male counts on leks in Cerro Summit – Cimarron - Sims Mesa population, 2001-200458

Table 10. High male counts on leks in the Crawford population, 2001-200464

Table 11. High male counts on leks in the Dove Creek subpopulation, 2001-2004.....70

Table 12. High male counts on leks in the Gunnison Basin population, 2001-2004.....76

Table 13. Habitat improvement projects in Gunnison Basin, reported by CDOW78

Table 14. High male counts on leks in the Monticello subpopulation, 2001-200482

Table 15. High male counts on leks in the Piñon Mesa population, 2001-200487

Table 16. High male counts on leks in the Poncha Pass population, 2001-200493

Table 17. Age and sex of GUSG transplanted to Poncha Pass, 2000-2002.....94

Table 18. High male counts on leks in the San Miguel population, 2001-2004.....99

Table 19. Hunter numbers and harvest of GUSG in the Gunnison Basin122

Table 20. Current and potential issues affecting GUSG populations146

Table 21. Summary of threats that may cause permanent habitat loss151

Table 22. Relative conservation importance, threat of permanent habitat loss, area subject to threat, and assessment of priority ranking for protection of habitat among populations of GUSG.....160

Table 23. Vegetation classes from the Colorado Vegetation Classification Project used to identify GUSG habitat linkages in Colorado165

Table 24. Vegetation classes from the Utah Gap Vegetation Layer used to identify GUSG habitat linkages in Utah.....166

Table 25. Results of simulations augmenting populations of 100, 200 and 300 birds with 10-40 sage-grouse when populations declined by 50%177

Table 26. Results of simulations augmenting populations of 100, 200 and 300 birds with 10-40 sage-grouse when populations declined by 75%178

Table 27. Summary statistics for number of males counted on leks used in the regression for GUSG populations187

Table 28. A) Log-transformed data for model selection, B) parameter estimates using real scale data for analysis of the number of males for a given amount of habitat within each sage-grouse population (GUSG only)192

Table 29. A) Log-transformed data for model selection, B) parameter estimates using real scale data for analysis of the number of males) for a given amount of habitat within each sage-grouse population (GUSG and GRSG data combined)193

Table 30. Summary statistics and parameter estimates of a linear model using lek counts from each year (real scale data) to analyze the number of males for a given amount of habitat for A) GUSG only and B) GRSG and GUSG combined.....197

Table 31: 95% C.I. for expected number of males (95% C.I. : y_i) and expected mean number of males (95% C.I. :) for a select range of habitat acreages197

Table 32. Occupied, vacant, and potential habitat, modeled population capability, recent population size, and future population target, by GUSG population.....256

Table 33. Vegetation classification of occupied habitat and adjacent areas that are delineated as “vacant/unknown” and “potentially suitable” in the Cerro Summit – Cimarron – Sims Mesa population area.....258

Table 34. Vegetation classification of occupied habitat and adjacent areas that are delineated as “vacant/unknown” and “potentially suitable in the Crawford population area.....	264
Table 35. Vegetation classification of occupied habitat and adjacent areas that are delineated as “vacant/unknown” and “potentially suitable” in the Gunnison Basin	271
Table 36. Vegetation classification of occupied habitat and adjacent areas that are delineated as “vacant/unknown” and “potentially suitable” in the Monticello area.....	278
Table 37. Vegetation classification of occupied habitat and adjacent areas that are delineated as “vacant/unknown” and “potentially suitable” in Dove Creek.....	279
Table 38. Vegetation classification of occupied habitat and adjacent areas that are delineated as “vacant/unknown” and “potentially suitable” in the Piñon Mesa area.....	286
Table 39. Vegetation classification of occupied habitat and adjacent areas that are delineated as “vacant/unknown” and “potentially suitable” in the Poncha Pass area.....	292
Table 40. Vegetation classification of occupied habitat and adjacent areas that are delineated as “vacant/unknown” and “potentially suitable” in the San Miguel Basin	297
Table 41. Population targets, expected ranges, 50-year extinction probabilities, and conservation importance of GUSG populations	303
Table 42. Relative importance of individual threats and opportunities for each population of GUSG	304

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many people, agencies and organizations have contributed to the development of this conservation plan. Special thanks go to Robbie Baird-LeValley, Michelle Cowardin, Jim Garner, James P. Gionfriddo and the Colorado Natural Heritage Program, Paul Jones, Chris Kloster, Kathleen Mawhinney, Stephen B. Monsen, Philip S. Miller, John Toolen, Alma H. Winward, the Western Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies, and those, both from the public and from various agencies, who reviewed and commented on the plan.