

## ISSUES SUBMITTAL FORM

Date: 06/08/2020

<b>ISSUE:</b>	<b>Should CPW add additional camping types to update and clarify fees?</b>
<b>DISCUSSION (FACTS AND FIGURES, EXPLANATION OF ISSUE):</b>	
<p>Currently, there are four camping site types and ten cabin/yurt site types in P-7 regulations. Splitting out the site types would better reflect the reality of park facilities. This will allow us to better represent the sites in the system and clarify the process for customers and staff.</p> <p>The two additional camping types we would like to add are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add "Tent-Only Campgrounds" with a maximum fee that aligns to "Electrical Campgrounds" at \$36.00/night. This proposed fee is based on some tent-only sites having electrical hookups.</li> <li>• Add "Tipi" with a maximum fee of \$50.00/night. This proposed fee is based on a tipi being smaller than a yurt or cabin with fewer amenities.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>STATE LAW REQUIRES CPW TO SOLICIT INPUT FROM STAKEHOLDERS THAT MAY BE AFFECTED POSITIVELY OR NEGATIVELY BY THE PROPOSED RULES. THE FOLLOWING STAKEHOLDERS HAVE BEEN ADVISED OF AND INVITED TO PROVIDE INPUT ON THE REGULATORY CHANGES PROPOSED IN THIS ISSUE PAPER:</b></p> <p><b>*IT IS ASSUMED THAT ALL NECESSARY INTERNAL PARTIES HAVE BEEN NOTIFIED*.</b></p>	
<p><b>Camping Customers:</b> This is an administrative clean up to clarify changes for customers and has no major impact.</p> <p><b>Staff:</b> Staff has asked for more defined facilities to align with what exists on-park.</p>	
<b>ALTERNATIVES: (POSSIBLE OUTCOMES or POSSIBLE REGULATIONS):</b>	
<p>1. <b>*Preferred Alternative*:</b> Add the two new site types and fees, as proposed above to Chapter P-07 #708.4-7.</p> <p>"Tent-Only Campground" will be defined as allowing only tents as the camping equipment. However, they many have amenities similar to "Electric Campgrounds" or "Basic Campgrounds" depending on the facility.</p> <p>2. Status quo</p>	
<b>Issue Raised by:</b>	<b>Devon Adams</b>
<b>Author of the issue paper (if different than person raising the issue):</b>	
<b>CC:</b>	
<b>APPROVED FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION BY:</b>	<b>Danielle Isenhardt</b>
<b>REQUIRES NEW SPACE IN THE BROCHURE?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
<b>ARE ADEQUATE STAFF AND FUNDING RESOURCES AVAILABLE TO IMPLEMENT?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
<b>REGION, BRANCH, OR SECTION LEADING IMPLEMENTATION</b>	<b>License and Pass</b>
<b>RECOMMENDED FOR CONSENT AGENDA?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO