10 Pocroz	tional Activities						
ISSUE 19.1	Recreational activities may cause a potential impact to GrSG.						
OBJECTIVE	Use experimentally designed studies to evaluate the cause and effect of recreat	ulation viability of GrSG					
19.1.1							
Reference	Conservation Strategy	Responsible Parties	Timeline	Implementation			
Number							
19.1.1.1	Evaluate the effect of recreational activities on GrSG mating behavior. [See	CPW, Other Research	Begin by 2020				
	Research Strategy 21.2.1.5]	Institutions, Universities					
19.1.1.2	Evaluate the effect of recreational activities on GrSG nesting and brood-rearing	CPW, Other Research	Begin by 2020				
	success. [See Research Strategy 21.2.1.5]	Institutions, Universities					
19.1.1.3	Evaluate the effect of recreational activities on GrSG winter flocks. [See	CPW, Other Research	Begin by 2020				
	Research Strategy 21.2.1.5]	Institutions, Universities					
	Evaluate the effect of recreational activities on recruitment and long-term	CPW, Other Research	Begin by 2020				
	population dynamics of GrSG. [See Research Strategy 21.2.1.5]	Institutions, Universities					
ISSUE 19.1	Recreational activities may cause a potential impact to GrSG.						
OBJECTIVE 19.1.2	Minimize the potential adverse impacts of recreational activities on GrSG (see C	CP "GrSG Disturbance Gu	idelines", Appei	ndix B).			
Reference	Conservation Strategy	Responsible Parties	Timeline	Implementation			
Number							
19.1.2.1	Minimize, where possible, the impacts to sage-grouse when designing or	BLM, CPW, County	Ongoing	CPW: General - CPW provides comments to land managem			
	modifying recreational roads or trails.	Governments, DPOR,		recreation groups, and others with sensitive locations and			
		LWGs,		recommendations for minimizing or avoiding impacts to GrS			
		Private Landowners, USFS		trail design/modification projects. CPW participates in each revisions occurring in GrSG habitat, including travel manage			
		0353		planning.			
19.1.2.2	On publicly-owned properties, pets (this excludes working dogs) should be on-	BLM, CPW, SLB, USFS,	2008	CPW: General - CPW provides this comment to land manag			
		USFWS		agencies in key GrSG habitats. CPW participates in each of t			
	– July).			revisions occurring in GrSG habitat, including travel manage			
				planning. For instance, CPW recommends that recreational			
				avoid areas within 0.6 miles of leks during the breeding seas			
19.1.2.3	Develop and distribute educational material on (1) general GrSG biology, and	CPW	2009				
	(2) the potential harmful effects of recreational activities on GrSG breeding,						
	nesting, and winter areas. Distribute to recreational groups, tourists, pet						
	owners, private landowners, and lek viewers. [See Information,						
	Communication, and Education Strategies 12.2.1.1 and 12.2.1.3]						
19.1.2.4	Identify and map areas of high recreational use within GrSG habitat for use in	LWGs	2008	BLM: NWCO - BLM and motorized recreation groups have v			
	guiding management decisions.			identify traffic densities in portions of the Little Snake Field			
				(particularly the Sand Wash Basin).			

	Effectiveness		

	Effectiveness	
ent agencies,		
GG for use in of the RMP ment		
ement he RMP ment activities son.		
worked to Office		

nt actions relating CPW es). [See 1.3	2009)
es). [See	2009	3
blic land managers LWGs e (e.g., during the downers, if tion Strategies	Ongoing	CPW: General - CPW provides comments to land managemen recreation groups, and others with sensitive locations and recommendations for minimizing or avoiding impacts to GrSG trail design/modification projects. CPW participates in each of revisions occurring in GrSG habitat, including travel management planning. For instance, CPW recommends that recreational act avoid areas within 0.6 miles of leks during the breeding seasor
ing recreational LWGs	Ongoing	CPW: General - While areas of specific conflict between recreand GrSG have not been widely identified, CPW regularly encomanagement agencies to provide sufficient law enforcement emake recreational regulations meaningful.
	, ,	CPW: General - CPW has provided comments on federal land planning/travel management documents, including necessary for GrSG seasonal habitats. Comments have been provided or management planning efforts in the Little Snake, Kremmling, a Colorado River Valley draft RMPs and the White River Nationa management plan.
ities) such as Governments, LV realignment Private	NGs,	CPW: General - CPW recommends that land management age seasonal and/or diurnal closures where recreational trails exist miles of lek sites. PPR - CPW has included speed limits on ener access roads in some WMPs where conflict with GrSG is likely.
		BLM: General - BLM is moving from Open (off-road travel allo toward Limited to Existing Routes or Limited to Designated Ro travel management plans within many important GrSG habitat include the Little Snake and Kremmling field offices).
ir G it r	ng, and winter Governments, LV SLB, USFS Ghabitat ties) such as realignment e on public lands SLM, CPW, Count Private Landowners, SLB BLM, CPW, Count Governments, LV	ng, and winter Governments, LWGs, SLB, USFS G habitat ties) such as realignment e on public lands BLM, CPW, County Governments, LWGs, Private Landowners, SLB, USFS BLM, CPW, County Governments, LWGs, SLB, SL

	Effectiveness
ent agencies, G for use in of the RMP ment activities on.	
reationists courages land t effort to	CPW: General - CPW law enforcement officers enforce travel management regulations on State Wildlife Areas.
nd use ry protections on travel s, and nal Forest	
gencies apply kist within 0.6 ergy field ly.	
llowed) Routes in tats (examples	